resch polster & berger 🕪

2. On March 1, 2011, an action was commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles entitled Ayman Kandeel, et al. v. Bret Saxon, et al., bearing case No. SC111666. A copy of the complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A"

- 3. The first date upon which defendant Stein received a copy of the complaint was March 3, 2011, when Stein was served with a copy of the complaint and a summons from the state court. Stein is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the remaining defendants were served on or after the same date.
- 4. All other defendants have joined in this Notice of Removal, as evidenced by the joinder attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Dated: March  $\frac{5}{1}$ , 2011

RESCH POLSTER & BERGER LLP

ANDREW V. JABLON

Attorneys for Defendant Rob Stein

415663.1

EXHIBIT "A"

03/02/2011 10:41

3102293501

BARANOV & WITTENBERG

PAGE 02/30

#### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: BRET SAXON, INSOMNIA MEDIA GROUP, (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): IMG FILM, INCORPORATED, IMG FILM 14, INC., ROB STEIN, and DOES 1-50, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: AYMAN KANDEEL and - (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): KIRKWOOD DREW

SUM-100
LOS ANGELES BUSINES COURT  JOHN A. BLASKE, GLERK
By: DEPUTY

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

below.
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your sease. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts case. There may be a court form that you can use for your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfheip), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfheip), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfheip), your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property the court clerk for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your may went to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney you may went to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney you may went to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney you may went to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney you may went to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney you may went to call an attorney right away.

may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referred activities from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate referred service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate referred service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate referred services from a nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelposlifornia.org), the California Court so Colline Self-Help Center these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelposlifornia.org), the California Court has a statutory lien for walved fees and (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/self/help), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/self/help), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/self/help), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/self/help), or by contacting your local court or court or court has a statutory lien for walved fees and (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/self/help). Or by contacting your local court or court or court has a statutory lien for walved fees and (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/self/help). Or by contacting your local court or court has a statutory lien for walved fees and (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/self/help). Or by contacting your local court or court has a statutory lien for walved fees and (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/self/help).

[AVISO] Lo nan demandado. Si no responde dentro de social, autorio de continuación

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada lelefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada lelefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada lelefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar corte y hacer que se sen contrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en le Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de pegar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le que de más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte de que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presente su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llama a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de un remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar e un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar e un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar e un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar e un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales sin fi

cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó	más de valor recibida mediante un	acuerdo o una concesion de :	arpiueje en un vo	aso de persono orani. Herra 4-4
ancer el amyamen de la cone antes	de que la corte paras contentes	Caso.	SE NUMBER:	
The name and address of the cou	R 15.		úmero del Caso):	SC111666
(El nombre y dirección de la corte	es);	<u>L</u>		
LOS ANGELES COUNTY ST	DERIOR COURT			
1725 MAIN STREET	·			
1725 MAIN STREET	<b>.</b> 1			
SANTA MONICA, CA 9040 The name, address, and telephon	I I	or plaintiff without an attorn	ney, is:	
The name, address, and telephon (El nombre, la dirección y el núme	e number of plainting attaches	demandante, o del deman	dante que no t	iene abogado, es):
(El nombre, la dirección y el nume	70 06 (elejono del abogado da	310.2	229.3500	
MITCUNET, M. BARANOV, I	45U - 30NT4010,			•
PADDAMON & WITTHINDENG	, LLF	EKE	J. Denham	i ,
1901 AVENUE OF THE ST	TARS, SOLLIN 1, 50 CO		WE WILL	
LOS ANGELES, CA 9006	U. I. S. CHINE	Clerk, by	7.00	, Deputy
DATE:	TARS, SUITE 1750 CL	(Secretario)		(Adjunto)
(Fecha)	mark of Comico of Su	mmons (form POS-010)		
(Fecha) (For proof of service of the summ (Para prueba de entre) de esta	ons, use Proof of Service of Se	of Service of Summons, (P	OS-010)).	
(Para prueba de entr <b>ei) à</b> de esta	Citation use of formulation 1001 NOTICE TO THE PERSON SEL	VED: You are served	.,	
<u></u>				
ISEALI 1	as an individual defend		posity):	
2	as the person sued un	der the fictitious name of ( $s_i$	hacus).	
	<ol><li>on behalf of (specify):</li></ol>			
	• •		1 CCP 41	6.60 (minor)
1	under:     CCP 416.10 (	corporation)	1	6.70 (conservatee)
	CCP 416.20 (	defunct corporation)		
	i CCP 416.40 (	association or partnership)	CCP 41	6.90 (authorized person)
	other (specify			
	the second of th	n (date):		Page 1 of
	4. by personal delivery o		Lome	Code of CIVII Procedure \$5 412.20, 46

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

SUMMONS

03/02/2011 10:41

3102293501

BARANOV & WITTENBERG

PAGE 03/30

OMMENDAL MELLIN LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT MICHAEL M. BARANOV - Bar No. 145137 JOHN & CLARKE CITESK 1 BARANOV & WITTENBERG, LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1750 MAK U 1 2011 2 Los Angeles, California 90067 DEPUTY Tel: (310) 229-3500 3 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, AYMAN KANDEEL and KIRKWOOD DREW 4 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 5 JUN 2 0 2011 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 8 SC111666 9 AYMAN KANDEEL and KIRKWOOD Case No. ALLAN J. GOODMAN 10 DREW, 11 COMPLAINT FOR: Plaintiffs. 12 1. Rescission -V5-Breach of Contract I 13 Breach of Contract II BRET SAXON, INSOMNIA MEDIA Fraud and Deceit GROUP, IMG FILM, INCORPORATED, 14 IMG FILM 14, INC., ROB STEIN, and RICO Conspiracy Money Had And Received DOES 1-50, inclusive, 15 Conversion Constructive Trust and Injunctive Defendants. 16 Relief 9. Unjust Enrichment 17 10. Accounting 18 [Demand For Trial By Jury] 19 20 COME NOW, PLAINTIFFS, AYMAN KANDEEL and KIRKWOOD DREW 21 (hereinafter referred to as the "Plaintiffs"), and allege as follows: 22 1. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff AYMAN KANDEEL ("KANDEEL") was an 23 individual residing in the City of Los Angeles, State of California. 24 2. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff KIRKWOOD DREW ("DREW") was an 25 individual residing in the City of Los Angeles, State of California. 26 3. Defendant BRET SAXON ("SAXON") is an individual residing in the City of Los 27 Angeles, and doing business within the County of Los Angeles, State of California. He is the 28

3

5

б

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

1.7

18

16

19

20 21

22 23

2425

26 27

28

principal, officer, director, manager and member of co-defendants INSOMNIA MEDIA GROUP, IMG FILM, INCORPORATED, IMG FILM 14, INC. and DOES 1-10.

- 4. Defendant INSOMNIA MEDIA GROUP is a business organization, form unknown, doing business within the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 5. Defendant IMG FILM, INCORPORATED is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, and doing business within the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- Defendant IMG FILM 14, INC. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, and doing business within the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 7. Defendant ROB STEIN ("STEIN") is an individual residing in the City of Los Angeles, and doing business within the County of Los Angeles, State of California. STEIN is the accountant, bookkeeper, and finance executive of one or more of SAXON's entities, including but not limited to co-defendants INSOMNIA MEDIA GROUP, IMG FILM, INCORPORATED, IMG FILM 14, INC. and DOES 1-20. STEIN has performed accounting and banking work with and for SAXON for many years, and is intimately familiar with all aspects of the books, records, and banking of SAXON and his entities, including but not limited to INSOMNIA MEDIA GROUP, IMG FILM, INCORPORATED, IMG FILM 14, INC. and DOES 1-20.
- 8. Plaintiffs do not know the true names of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sue them by those fictitious names.
- 9. Unless otherwise alleged in this complaint, plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on the basis of that information and belief allege, that at all times mentioned in this complaint, each of the defendants was an agent and/or employee of their codefendants, and in doing the things alleged in this complaint, was acting within the course and scope of that agency and employment.
- 10. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the individual defendants exercised such control and dominion over the business entity codefendants so as to make them their mere alter egos and instrumentalities and, as a result, each of the business entity codefendants' corporate and/or limited liability company shields should be disregarded and the

03/02/2011 10:41

1.0

BARÁNOV & WITTENBERG

PAGE 05/30

business entity defendants rendered mere instrumentalities and alter egos of the controlling defendants.

#### FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

#### A. SAXON's Appearance of a Luxury Lifestyle

11. SAXON's lifestyle and professional image are well-known to plaintiffs and others, and SAXON uses that image to project an aura of success and financial solvency. SAXON owns a 10,900-square-foot home in Pacific Palisades, California, which includes a tennis court, swimming pool, and a film theater, at which he entertains clients and potential investors. SAXON frequently flies in private jets, often traveling with friends and associates to Las Vegas, to sporting events, and to luxurious destinations. SAXON has had a number of exotic or luxury cars for his use, and to portray an image of success and wealth, including four (4) Mercedes, a Bentley, and a Ferrari. SAXON employs a housekeeper, a nanny, a tennis coach at his home, and a personal trainer, that travels with him. SAXON frequently entertained and used an exclusive Black American Express credit card. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that neither the automobiles nor the exclusive credit card belong to SAXON, and were owned by third parties and were backed by accounts not issued in SAXON's name. On numerous occasions, SAXON represented himself to plaintiffs to be an experienced and successful author, executive, businessman, consultant, and motion picture producer. SAXON's decadent lifestyle was consistent with these representations.

#### B. The "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction" Investment Contract

12. In September of 2007, plaintiff KANDEEL wired defendants \$1,000,000, which defendants, through their agent defendant SAXON, told him would be used to produce a film entitled "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction", written by Barry Primus (the "Motion Picture"). Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated by this reference as if set forth in full is a copy of the contract to finance the Motion Picture ("The 20% Fiction Investment Contract"). The \$1,000,000 was wired to defendants in a sign of the utmost of good faith and based on defendants' representation that Robert DeNiro was on board to produce, and to grant his name and likeness in connection with "20% Fiction", and that defendants controlled the script for the

1.7

1.9

Motion Picture, written by Barry Primus, and that there were substantial pre-sales of the rights to the Motion Picture. The transaction was contingent on defendants' receipt of an additional \$3,600,000 from other investors, who decided not to move forward. Therefore, The 20% Fiction Investment Contract was rescinded. However, despite repeated requests, the \$1,000,000 was never returned to plaintiff KANDEEL. Instead, defendants have informed KANDEEL that the sum has been spent by them on pre-production. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that these representations are false and that defendants have in fact spent around \$23,000 on pre-production and have converted plaintiff KANDEEL's funds for their own personal use and expenses.

C. The DREW Loan

13. In or about February 2007, defendants, through their agent SAXON, represented to plaintiff DREW that they had two motion picture projects for films entitled "Viral" and "Motel Hell", that were represented and packaged by the William Morris Agency and green lit

- 13. In or about February 2007, defendants, through their agent SAXON, represented to plaintiff DREW that they had two motion picture projects for films entitled "Viral" and "Motel Hell", that were represented and packaged by the William Morris Agency and green lit by MGM, and which had been pres-sold internationally for sums in excess of the production budgets. Defendants characterized these projects as a "slam dunk" and requested plaintiff DREW to lend them the sum of \$250,000 for pre-production expenses. Defendants promised plaintiff DREW that his money would be returned in three mouths, with interest. Based on these representations, on or about February 15, 2007, plaintiff DREW lent defendants \$250,000. However, no written contract was ever provided by defendants to plaintiff DREW, despite his repeated requests. When this sum was not returned, defendants continued to tell plaintiff DREW of other deals and projects that they would place him in. None of these ever materialized.
- 14. In August of 2008, while defendant SAXON was at plaintiff DREW's house, defendant SAXON promised to return the money to plaintiff DREW in full. To date, only \$30,000 of this sum has been returned, leaving a balance owing of \$220,000. The check for \$30,000 received by plaintiff DREW was drawn on the account of "East Bronx Productions", an entity unknown to plaintiff DREW at the time. Plaintiff DREW is informed and believes and thereon alleges that "East Bronx Productions" was another entity set up by defendants for a different motion picture project using funds of other investors and that defendants' use of this

4 5 6

7 8 9

10

13 14

12

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

2223

24 25

26 27 28 account to repay a portion of funds owed to plaintiff DREW was part of an ongoing interstate Ponzi scheme, operated by defendants.

- D. The Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract
- 15. Between October 19, 2006 and October 1, 2007, defendants were also paid a total of \$300,000 to consult on a Middle Eastern themed motion picture project for plaintiff KANDEEL. Nothing but talk and expensive trips came of this process. Portions of a screenplay eventually provided by defendants were not accompanied by any documents supporting their rights to it, rendering it worthless and unusable.

## FIFST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RESCISSION OF "ROBERT DENIRO PRESENTS: 20% FICTION" INVESTMENT CONTRACT

(By plaintiff KANDEEL against all defendants)

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 15 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 17. Plaintiff KANDEEL in September of 2007, has wired defendants \$1,000,000, which defendants, through their agent defendant SAXON, told him would be used to produce a film entitled "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction", pursuant to the terms of a written agreement, dated September 1, 2007, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference as if set forth in full. This amount was wired to defendants in a sign of the utmost of good faith and based on defendants' representation that Robert DeNiro was on board to produce, and to grant his name and likeness in connection with "20% Fiction" and that Barry Primus has written an original motion picture screenplay by the same name. The transaction was contingent on defendants' receipt of an additional approximate \$3,600,000 from other investors, who decided not to move forward. Therefore, the transaction was rescinded. However, despite repeated requests, this sum was never returned to plaintiff KANDEEL.
- 18. Plaintiff KANDEEL requests an order rescinding the "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction" Investment Contract and mandating defendants to return the sum of \$1,000,000 to him, with interest thereon.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT: THE DREW LOAN

(By plaintiff DREW against all defendants)

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 18 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 20. Plaintiff has performed all of its conditions, covenants, and promises required by it on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Drew Loan.
- 21. Defendants breached their obligations pursuant to the Drew Loan and failed to repay the loan.
- 22. As a result of defendants' breach of the Drew Loan, plaintiff DREW has been damaged in the sum of \$220,000 with interest thereon.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT: MIDDLE EASTERN THEMED MOTION PICTURE CONSULTING CONTRACT

(By plaintiff KANDEEL against all defendants)

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 22 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 24. Plaintiff KANDEEL has performed all of its conditions, covenants, and promises required by it on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract, and has paid defendants the sum of \$300,000.
- 25. Defendants have breached the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract by misutilizing the funds paid therefor, converting said funds for their personal purposes and providing a portion of a screenplay which was not accompanied by any documents supporting their rights to it, rendering it worthless and unusable.
- 26. As a result of defendants' breach of the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract, plaintiff KANDEEL has been damaged in the sum of \$300,000 with interest thereon.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUD AND DECEIT

(By plaintiffs against all defendants)

- 27. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 26 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 28. Commencing in May of 2006, and continuing thereafter, in Los Angeles, California, defendants, through their authorized agent, defendant SAXON, made representations to plaintiffs that defendants were competent and successful motion picture producers, that they had pre-sold foreign distribution rights to their motion picture projects for sums in excess of the production budgets, and that if plaintiffs would provide funds to defendants, the funds would be invested in motion picture projects, secured by existing foreign distribution contracts, and that plaintiffs' investments would produce significant returns.
- 29. In reliance on these representations, plaintiffs entered into the Drew Loan, the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract and the "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction" Investment Contract, and provided defendants with funds totaling \$1,520,000.
- 30. Unbeknown to plaintiffs, defendants' representations were in fact false, because, among other falsehoods, no pre-sale agreements existed and defendants did not even control the underlying rights to the projects which they claimed to be developing and, instead, plaintiffs' funds were converted by defendants for other purposes, as part of a Ponzi scheme being run by defendants. Had the plaintiffs knows the true facts, they would not have entered into any business relationship with defendants and would not have provided any money to defendants.
- 31. As a result of the pattern of fraud and deceit by defendants, plaintiffs have been injured in the sum of no less than \$1,520,000, with interest thereon.
- 32. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants were willful, malicious and intentional, designed expressly to harm plaintiffs. As a result, plaintiffs are entitled to exemplary and punitive damages, in an amount sufficient to punish the defendants.

26 ///

111

27

28

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATION OF RICO (Section 1962(c))

(By plaintiffs against all defendants)

- 33. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 32 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 34. Defendants, and each of them, were associated with an enterprise that engaged in or affected interstate commerce. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants SAXON and STEIN operated or managed the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, namely soliciting funds from plaintiff and other similarly situated persons and entities, through the repeated use of the mail and wire transfers, for fraudulent purposes. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants, and each of them, committed fraud via internet communications, facsimile transmissions, telephone conversations, mail fraud, and wire transfers occurring in interstate commerce, and otherwise defrauded plaintiff, including but not limited to those alliterations set forth above. Plaintiff is also informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants threatened and intimidated creditors and witnesses in order to coerce their silence and prevent them from disclosing unlawful and/or fraudulent acts.
- 35. The acts of defendants and their co-conspirators as described above against plaintiff and others have the same or similar purposes, results, participants, victims, or methods of commission, and are otherwise interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and are therefore not isolated events.
- 36. The acts of defendants and their co-conspirators as described throughout this complaint amount to or pose a threat of continued and/or ongoing criminal activity.
- 37. The acts of defendants and their co-conspirators, including the acts of wire fraud (18 U.S.C. Section 1343) and mail fraud were a regular way of conducting the ongoing business of defendants and their co-conspirators. Plaintiff is informed and believes that in addition to it, there are other similarly situated persons and parties, which were deceived by the acts of defendants and their co-conspirators.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
1.4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
2.7	

- 38. Defendants and each of them, are entities capable of holding legal or beneficial interests in property and, as such, are subject to the RICO Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1961(3). During all relevant times, defendants, and other entities unknown to plaintiff were and are part of an "enterprise" as the term is defined in 18 U.S.C. Section 1961(4), having a common or shared purpose, functioning as a continuing unit, engaged in interstate commerce and the activities which affect interstate commerce, and which has an ascertainable structure distinct from that which is inherent in the conduct of the pattern of racketeering. Plaintiff is informed and believes that defendants SAXON and STEIN established numerous subsidiaries or affiliates of IMG, in order to effect the enterprise and deceive investors and creditors. Plaintiff is informed and believes that SAXON and/or STEIN may have used IMG and/or other business entity defendants to effect the enterprise and deceive investors and creditors.
- 39. The wire fraud and mail fraud perpetrated by defendants as alleged above constitutes a pattern of racketeering activity consisting of two or more acts or racketeering activity, on of which occurred after the effective date of 18 U.S.C. Section 1961, et seq., and the last of which occurred within ten years after the commission of a prior act of racketeering activity, as alleged above.
- 40. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all relevant times, defendants used income derived, either directly or indirectly, from the above-described pattern of racketeering activity in the establishment and/or operation of an enterprise, namely the business entity defendants named herein, which engaged in and/or whose activities affected interstate commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1962(a).
- 41. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all relevant times, in undertaking the actions described herein, defendants, through a pattern of racketeering activity, directly or indirectly acquired and maintained an interest in and control over an enterprise which engaged in and/or whose activities affected interstate commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1962(b).
- 42. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all relevant times, in undertaking the actions described herein, business entity defendants, and their officers

1.0

1.1

Т ;

 and employees, were associated with an enterprise, the activities of which affect interstate commerce, and conducted and participated, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of the enterprise through above-described pattern of racketeering activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1962(c).

- 43. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that defendants, and each of them, and their officers and employees, conspired with each other and with third parties, to perpetrate the above-described pattern of racketeering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1962(d).
- 44. As a result of the pattern of racketeering activity by defendants, plaintiff has sustained injury in its property and to its business in the sum of no less than \$2,192,500, with interest thereon. Plaintiff is also entitled to recover treble damages, costs of suit, and attorneys' fees in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1964(c), as Congress has authorized private party enforcement of the RICO statute and reimbursement of attorneys' fees expended therein.
- 45. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants were willful, malicious and intentional, designed expressly to harm plaintiffs. As a result, plaintiff is entitled to exemplary and punitive damages, in an amount sufficient to punish the defendants.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED

(By plaintiffs against all defendants)

- 46. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 45 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 47. Plaintiff KANDEEL has provided the sum of \$1,000,000 in connection with the "Robert DcNiro Presents: 20% Fiction" Investment Contract, and \$300,000 in connection with services to be rendered and rights to be acquired on the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract. Plaintiff DREW has provided the sum of \$220,000 pursuant to the Drew Loan.

2011	-
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	K m is
8	
9	
10	
11	ł
12	
13	C
14	Ī
15	i
16	
17	2
18	1
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
21	
25	
26	

- 48. As a result of above-referenced sale, defendants became indebted to the plaintiff KANDEEL for the sum of \$1,300,000, and to plaintiff DREW for the sum of \$220,000, for money, goods and services had and received by the defendants for the use of the plaintiffs.
- 49. Despite demands, no payment has been made by defendants to plaintiff and there is now owing the sum of \$1,300,000 to plaintiff KANDEEL and \$220,000 to plaintiff DREW, with interest thereon.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONVERSION

(By plaintiffs against all defendants)

- 50. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 49 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 51. Plaintiffs demanded the immediate return of the money owed to them, but defendants failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to return the money owed to plaintiffs, totaling \$1,300,000 to plaintiff KANDEEL and \$220,000 to plaintiff DREW, with interest thereon.
- 52. As a proximate result of defendants' conversion, plaintiffs have expanded attorneys' fees and costs in pursuit of the converted property, and foregone interest, all to plaintiffs' damage in the sum which will be proven at trial.
- 53. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants were willful, malicious and intentional, designed expressly to harm plaintiffs. As a result, plaintiffs are entitled to exemplary and punitive damages, in an amount sufficient to punish the defendants.

### FIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST

(By plaintiffs against all defendants)

- 54. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 53 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 55. Defendants' wrongful and fraudulent retention of the money owed to plaintiffs are wrongful and without substantial justification.

27

28

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

1,6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

56. It is hereby requested that a constructive trust issue, with defendants holding said sums, totaling at \$1,520,000, in trust for plaintiffs, until judgment in the within action is rendered.

NINTH CAUSE OF UNJUST ENRICHMENT

(By plaintiffs against all defendants)

- 57. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 56 above as though set forth in full hercin.
- 58. Pursuant to the "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction" Investment Contract, the Drew Loan, and the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract, defendants received from plaintiff KANDEEL the sum of \$1,300,000 and from plaintiff DREW the sum of \$220,000. Defendants failed to perform their obligations pursuant to the "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction" Investment Contract, the Drew Loan, and the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract.
- 59. Defendants will be unjustly enriched unless defendants are ordered to pay to plaintiff KANDEEL the sum of \$1,300,000 and to plaintiff DREW the sum of \$220,000, with interest thereon.

#### TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR AN ACCOUNTING

(By plaintiffs against all defendants)

- 60. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 59 above as though set forth in full herein.
- 61. By virtue of the existence of the "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction"

  Investment Contract, the Drew Loan, and the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract, plaintiffs are entitled to an accounting of defendants' books and records pertaining to each of those contracts and the development of any and all entertainment projects related thereto by defendants.

03/02/2011 10:41

3102293501

BARANOV & WITTENBERG

PAGE 15/30

WHEREFORE, plaintiff KANDEEL prays judgment against defendants, and each of 1 them, as follows: 2 For compensatory damages in the sum to be proved at trial but no less than 1. 3 \$1,300,000. 4 For an order rescinding the "Robert DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction" Investment 2. 5 Contract, and ordering the refund of \$1,000,000, with interest thereon. б For damages for the proximate and foreseeable loss resulting from defendants' 7 breach of the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract according to proof but 8 no less than \$300,000, with interest thereon. 9 For general damages in the sum to be proved at trial. 4. 10 For treble damages 5. 11 For exemplary and punitive damages in the sum to be proved at trial, but no less 6. 12 than \$10,000,000. 13 For the imposition of constructive trust over sums held by defendants. 7. 14 For an accounting of defendants' books and records, including those pertaining to 8, 15 the Middle Eastern Themed Motion Picture Consulting Contract and the "Robert 16 DeNiro Presents: 20% Fiction" motion picture project. 17 For prejudgment interest on all amounts claimed. 9. 18 For reasonable attorney's fees incurred herein. 10. 19 For costs of suit incurred herein. 11. 20 For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper. 12. 21 2.2 WHEREFORE, plaintiff DREW prays judgment against defendants, and each of them, as 23 follows: 24 For compensatory damages in the sum to be proved at trial but no less than 1. 25 \$220,000. 26 For damages for the proximate and foreseeable loss resulting from defendants' 2. 27

28

breach of The Drew Loan.

Case 2:11-cv-02747-GW-AJW Document 1 Filed 03/31/11 Page 18 of 33 Page ID #:18

03/02/2011 10:41 3102293501 BARANOV & WITTENBERG PAGE 16/30

1								
1	3.	For general damage	es in the sum to be proved at trial.					
2	4.	For treble damages						
3	5.	For exemplary and	punitive damages in the sum to be proved at trial, but no less					
4	than \$5,000,0	000.	J.					
5	6.	For the imposition	for the imposition of constructive trust over sums held by defendants.					
6	7.	For an accounting	For an accounting of defendants' books and records, including those pertaining to					
7		the Drew Loan and	the Drew Loan and any and all entertainment projects related thereto.					
8	8.	For prejudgment in	terest on all amounts claimed.					
9	9.	For reasonable atto	mey's fees incurred herein.					
10	10.	For costs of suit inc	curred herein.					
11	11.	For such other and	further relief as the court may deem proper.					
12								
13	DATED: Fe	bruary 28, 2011	BARANOY & WITTENBERG, LLP					
14								
15			MICHAEL M. BARANOV					
16			Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
17								
18		DE	MAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY					
1.9								
20	Plain	tiffs demand that this	case be tried by a jury.					
21								
22	DATED: Fe	bruary 28, 2011	BARANOV & WITTENBERG, LLP					
23		•	Bv: 42					
24			MICHAEL M. BARANOV					
25			Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
26								
27								
28								

Case 2:11-cv-02747-GW-AJW Document 1 Filed 03/31/11 Page 19 of 33 Page ID #:19

03/02/2011 10:41 3102293501 BARANOV & WITTENBERG PAGE 17/30

**EXHIBIT "A"** 

03/02/2011 10:41 3102293501 BARANOV & WITTENBERG PAGE 18/30



September 1, 2007

Re: "20% Fiction"

This letter confirms the terms of the agreement between IMG Film inc. (referred to as "Company") and your firm, ("Financier") with respect to the proposed production, distribution and exploitation of a motion picture based on the original screenplay currently entitled "20% Fiction" ("Picture") written by Barry Primus. Company and Financier are referred herein individually as "Party" and collectively as "Parties."

WHEREAS, Company intends to produce the Picture in the Winter of 2007/8 with principal photography commencing on or about November 25, 2007.

WHEREAS, Financier agrees to provide production financing for the Picture in the amount of U.S. \$ 4,612,634.44 (Four Million, Six Hundred Twelve Thousand, Six Hundred Thirty Four Dollars and Forty-Four Cents) ("Financing").

In consideration of the mutual promises contained herein the sufficiency of which is hereby agreed and acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

1. In the event Financier actually provides the Financing on or before September 21, 2007, Financier shall be entitled to the following from 100% of any and all revenues actually received by Company, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, or any entity on its behalf, from the exploitation of the Picture (including any exploitable rights therein or elements thereof), worldwide, in any and all markets and any and all media now known or hereafter devised, from all sources:

- a. Recoupment of the Financing. Such recoupment shall be out of first revenues in, and in first position relative to any other financier, if any. Amount to be recouped is US \$5,300,000, which reflects actual Financing, plus interest and financing fee. In addition, Financier shall be entitled to such recoupment after Company has paid the following sums, if applicable, and Producer shall be entitled to maintain a reasonable reserve to cover anticipated amounts due under sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii) below:
- (i) payments due to unions or guilds having jurisdiction over the Picture for residuals (including any music re-use or new use fees) in connection with the Picture, but only to the extent not paid by the distributor(s) of the Picture;
- (ii) any actual, direct, out-of-pocket costs and expenses of auditing, administering and collecting moneys paid and/or owing to Company from distributors and/or other licensees of the Picture and of paying taxes and other administrative expenses of Company;
- (iii) the fee and expenses payable to any third party sales representative or sales agent and distribution attorney for the Picture; and,
- (iv) third party accounts payable or executory obligations of Company which are directly in connection with the production, delivery, sales, marketing, promotion and publicity of the Picture (e.g., for creation of delivery items prior to complete delivery of the Picture to all distributors, and for storage of Picture elements); provided, however, if that if amounts payable under this paragraph 1(a)(iv) would cause the budget of the Picture to exceed \$5.5 million, then Company shall obtain Financier's approval of such expenses, failing which such expenses may not be recouped against sums payable to Financier under this agreement.
- b. Following recoupment of the amounts specified in paragraph 1a above and payment of any and all deferred compensation to persons rendering services or granting rights in connection with the Picture, Financier shall receive contingent compensation in an amount equal to 25% of any and all revenues generated from any further exploitation of the Picture in perpetuity throughout the universe in all media known or developed in the future.
- c. Financier shall receive three credits as Executive Producers in the main titles of the final release print of the Picture. The size and placement of said credit is left solely to Company's discretion.
- d. Financier shall have the first opportunity to participate as a financier on any sequels, remakes or other programs derived from the Picture.
- 2. Parties agree that for a transaction of this nature more formal documents may be required to effect the ultimate purpose of this Agreement and do hereby agree to incorporate the terms and conditions of this letter Agreement into said documents, if any. Parties agree to negotiate in good faith terms and conditions not contained herein using the standards and customs of the theatrical motion picture industry for such negotiations. Unless and until such further documents

Α

are executed, if ever, this Agreement shall bind and inure to the benefit of the Parties, their successors and assigns.

- 3. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, all creative, artistic and business decisions relating to the development, production, distribution and other exploitation of the Picture shall remain in Company's sole discretion.
- 4. This Agreement is governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 5. The Parties represent and warrant and agree that each has the right to enter into this Agreement and neither is subject to any obligation or disability which will or might prevent one of the Parties from keeping and performing the covenants and conditions herein.
- 6. This Agreement contains the full and complete understanding between the Parties and supercedes all prior agreements and understandings whether written or oral and cannot be modified except by a written instrument signed by both Parties. Each Party acknowledges that neither Party, nor their agents nor representatives made any representation or promise not expressly contained in this Agreement.
- 7. In the event of any dispute hereunder, Financier's remedy shall be limited to an action at law for money damages, and in no event shall Financier have the right to seek injunctive or other equitable relief.

If the foregoing comports with your understanding of our Agreement please countersign below.

	Sincerely, IMG Film, Inc.
	Bret Saxon, Chairman
GREED AND ACCEPTED:	

EXHIBIT "B"

DANA M. COLE (SBN 89105) E-Mail: coledana@pacbell.net COLE & LOETERMAN 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310-556-8300 Facsimile: 310-772-0807 Attorneys for Defendant Insomnia Media Group, Img Film, Incorporated, and Img Film 14, Inc., 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION 10 11 AYMAN KANDEEL and Case No. KIRKWOOD DREW, 12 JOINDER IN NOTICE OF Plaintiffs, REMOVAL OF ACTION 13 Trial Date: VS. None Set 14 BRET SAXON, INSOMNIA MEDIA GROUP, IMG FILM, 15 INCORPORATED, IMG FILM 14, INC., ROB STEIN, and DOES 1-50. 16 inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 19 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: Defendants Insomnia Media Group, Img Film, Incorporated, and Img Film 20 14, Inc., hereby join in the Notice of Removal to this Court, filed by Defendant 21 Robert Stein (erroneously sued as Rob Stein) of the state court action described in 22 the said Notice of Removal. Dated: March 50, 2011 COLE & LOETERMAN 24 25 26 Dana M. Qole Attorneys for Defendants Insomnia Media 27 Group, Img Film, Incorporated, and Img Film 14, Inc. 28

415663 1

415663.1

	1 2 3 4 5	RONALD RICHARDS (SBN 176246) E-Mail: ron@ronaldrichards.com LAW OFFICES OF RONALD RICHAR P.O. Box 11480 Beverly Hills, CA 90213 Telephone: 310-556-1001 Facsimile: 310-277-3325 Attorneys for Defendant Bret Saxon	DS & ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.								
	7	7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT									
	8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION									
	9										
	10	AYMAN KANDEEL and KIRKWOOD DREW.	Case No.								
ב ס נ	11	Plaintiffs,	JOINDER IN NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION								
ນ ງີ	12	Vs.	Trial Date: None Set								
์ :	13	BRET SAXON, INSOMNIA MEDIA									
	14	GROUP, IMG FILM, INCORPORATED, IMG FILM 14, INC., ROB STEIN, and DOES 1-50,									
Ĺ	15	INC., ROB STEIN, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,									
) ) }	16	Defendants.									
•	17										
	18	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:									
	19		in the Notice of Removal to this Court,								
	20	filed by Defendant Robert Stein (erroneously sued as Rob Stein) of the state court									
	21	action described in the said Notice of Ren	noval.								
	22		LAW OFFICES OF RONALD								
	23		RICHARDS & ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.								
	24		Ronald Richards, Esq.  Ronald Richards, Esq.  Rehards Associates, NCL. cou.								
	25		By:  Ronald Richards  Attorneys for Defendent Brot Seven								
	26		Attorneys for Defendant Bret Saxon								
	27										
	28										

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 9200 Sunset Boulevard, Ninth Floor, Los Angeles, California 90069-3604.

On March 31, 2011, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. §1441(B) (FEDERAL QUESTION) on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Resch Polster & Berger LLP's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on March 3, 2011, at Los Angeles, California.

Janaki Neptune

415663.1

Case 2 11-cv-02747-GW-AJW Document 1 Filed 03/31/11 Page 27 of 33 Page ID #:27

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Ronald S. W. Lew and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Andrew J. Wistrich.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 2747 RSWL (AJWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

	=
NOTICE TO COUNSEL	

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

## Case 2:11-cy-02747-GW-AJW Document 1 Filed 03/31/11 Page 29 of 33 Page ID #:29 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

			CIVIL COVI	ER SHEET					
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check b Ayman Kandeel an	ox if you are representing yourse d Kirkwood Drew	eit [])		DEFENDANTS Bret Saxon, ar corporation; II Inc., a Californ inclusive	MG Film	i, Inc., a	i California co	proporation: IN	AG Film 14
Michael M. Baranov Baranov & Wittenber 1901 Avenue of the Los Angeles, CA 90 (310) 229-3500	erg, LLP Stars, Suite 1750 067-6005	If you are		Attorneys (If Kno Andrew V. Jal Resch Polster 9200 Sunset B Los Angeles, ( (310) 277-830 Attorneys for I	blon & Berge Soulevard CA 9006 0; (310)	l, Ninth 9-3604 552-320			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO  1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  2 U.S. Government Defendant	3 Federal Question (U.S Government Not a Par	ty	III. CITIZENS (Place an X  Citizen of This S  Citizen of Anoth		intiff and c	one for de  DEF    DEF	Incorporated or of Business in the Incorporated and of Business in A	Principal Place his State d Principal Place	<b>PTF DEF</b>
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one  1 Original 2 Remove Proceeding State 6	ved from 3 Remanded from			ct of a Foreign Cou		3 3 strict (spe	Foreign Nation	lti- 🔲 7 App	6 6
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite  18 USC Section 1961  VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place  OTHER STATUTES)  400 State Reapportionment  410 Antitrust  430 Banks and Banking  450 Commerce/ICC  Rates/etc.  460 Deportation  470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt  Organizations  480 Consumer Credit  490 Cable/Sat TV  810 Selective Service  850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange  875 Customer Challenge 12  USC 3410  890 Other Statutory Actions  891 Agricultural Act  892 Economic Stabilization  Act  893 Environmental Matters  894 Energy Allocation Act  900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal  Access to Justice	e an X in one box only.)  CONTRACT:  110 Insurance  120 Marine  130 Miller Act  140 Negotiable Instrument  150 Recovery of  Overpayment &  Enforcement of  Judgment  151 Medicare Act  152 Recovery of Defaulted  Student Loan (Excl.  Veterans)  153 Recovery of  Overpayment of  Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product  Liability  196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation  220 Foreclosure  230 Rent Lease & Ejectment  240 Torts to Land  245 Tort Product Liability	PER.   310   310   315   320   330   340   345   350   365   366   368	TORTS SONAL INJURY Airplane Airplane Product Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Fed. Employers' Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury Nabestos Personal Injury Product Liability MIGRATION Naturalization Application	TORTI PERSON PROPER 370 Other F 371 Truth in 380 Other P Propert Product BANKRUI 22 Appeal 2 158 423 Withdra USC 15 CIVIL RIG 441 Voting 442 Employn 443 Housing mmodat 444 Welfare 1445 America Disabilit Employn 446 America Disabilit Employn 446 America Other 440 Other Ci	S VAL VIY Fraud In Lending Personal By Damage It Liability PTCY IS USC In wal 28 IT VAcco- tions In with Ities — ment In with Ities —	S10 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	RISONER ETITIONS Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other Civil Rights Prison Condition RETITIRE/ ENALTY Sericulture Other Food & Orug Prug Related Groperty 21 USC 81 Guor Laws R.& Truck Grilline Regs Ccupational afety /Health	TLAB T10 Fair La Act 720 Labor/M Relatio 730 Labor/M Reporti Disclos 740 Railway 790 Other L Litigati 1791 Empl. R Security	bor Standards Mgmt. ms Mgmt. ing & sure Act y Labor Act abor on Ret. Inc. y Act RIGHTS thts ark CCURITY 5ff) ung (923) DIWW the XVI 5(g)) AX SUITS J.S. Plaintiff
950 Constitutionality of State Statutes  FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	290 All Other Real Property  Case Number:	☐ 465 C	Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee Other Immigration Actions	Rights			<b>1 0</b> 2	871 IRS-Thir USC 760	d Party 26
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				**************************************				

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

## Case 2:11-cyn2747 GW-A IW Document 1 Filed 03/31/11 Page 30 of 33 Page ID #:30 CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	Has this action been	previously filed in this court a	and dismissed, remanded or closed? No Yes		
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?  No  Yes  If yes, list case number(s): CV11-02335 DMG (CGx)					
	A. Arise from the sa B. Call for determin C. For other reasons	ame or closely related transacti nation of the same or substantia s would entail substantial dupli	ions, happenings, or events; or ally related or similar questions of law and fact; or ication of labor if heard by different judges; or all, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.		
IX. VENUE: (When completing the					
	ct; California Count t, its agencies or em	y outside of this District; State ployees is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. fthis box is checked, go to item (b).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles			Los Angeles		
(b) List the County in this Distric Check here if the government County in this District:*	t; California County , its agencies or emp	outside of this District; State bloyees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.  If this box is checked, go to item (c).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles			Los Angeles		
(c) List the County in this District Note: In land condemnation	; California County cases, use the locat	outside of this District; State ition of the tract of land invol	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.  ved.		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
LA			on Poleign Country		
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berna Note: In land condemnation cases, u	ise the location of th	Ventura, Santa Barbara, or S ne tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties		
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (	OR PRO PIER):	20,	Date March 31, 2011		
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The or other papers as required by labut is used by the Clerk of the County to Statistical codes relating to Statistical codes relating to Statistical codes.	Court for the purpose	of statistics, venue and initiati	nation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3 -1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation				
	11001CVIQUOII	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action		
861	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)			
863	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW		or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Social		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental Act, as amended.	security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (ol. U.S.C. (g))	d age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42		

V-71 (05/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2 LegalNet, Inc.

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkllow.com

#### Case 2:11-cv-02747 CAN DOCUMENT 1 Filed 03/31/11 Page 31 OF CALIFORNIA Page 31 of 33 Page ID #:31 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM

Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should

- PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a Government Agency use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official giving both name and
- Attorneys. Enter firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section ("see attachment"). Refer to Local Rules 83-2.7 and 41-6 for further information regarding change of attorney name, address, firm association, phone number, fax number or email address, and dismissal of action for failure of pro se plaintiff to keep Court apprised of current address.
- JURISDICTION. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdiction be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. II. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States Plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States Defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal Question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331 where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, and act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code take precedence and box 1 or 2 should be

Diversity of Citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332 where parties are citizens of different states. When box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below) (Federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- RESIDENCE (CITIZENSHIP) OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES. This section of the CV-71(JS-44) is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this III.
- IV. ORIGIN. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes:
  - Original Proceedings. Cases which originate in the United States District Courts.
  - Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1441. When the petition for
  - Remanded from Appellate court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
  - Transferred from Another District. For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). DO NOT use this for within-district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers. When this box is checked, DO NOT check (6) below.
  - Multidistrict Litigation. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is
  - Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judge Judgment. Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.
- V REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT.

Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

- CAUSE OF ACTION. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause of action. Do not cite jurisdictional statues VI. unless diversity. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- NATURE OF SUIT. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. MARK ONE BOX ONLY. If the cause of action fits more than one nature of suit, select the one that best VII.
- VIII (a) IDENTICAL CASES. Indicate if an identical action has previously been filed and dismissed, remanded or closed. Insert the docket number and judge's name, if
  - (b) RELATED CASES. This section of the CV-71 (JS-44)) is used to reference related cases, if any. If there are related cases, insert the docket numbers and the
- VENUE. This section of the CV-71 (JS-44) is used to identify the correct division in which the case will be filed. Indicate the county in this district; state, if other than X. California; or foreign country where each plaintiff and defendant resides at the time of filing; and where each claim arose in sections (a), (b), and (c), respectively.

If the United States government, an agency or employee there of is a plaintiff and/or defendant, place an "X" in the appropriate box(es).

In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved..

Attorney or party appearing pro per must sign and date this form.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 9200 Sunset Boulevard, Ninth Floor, Los Angeles, California 90069-3604.

On March  $\frac{2}{5}$ , 2011, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as **CIVIL COVER SHEET** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Resch Polster & Berger LLP's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 2/2011, at Los Angeles, California.

Janaki Neptune

### SERVICE LIST Kandeel and Kirkwood v. Rret S.

Kandeel and Kirkwood v. Bret Saxon, et al.						
	Michael M. Baranov Baranov & Wittenberg, LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1750 Los Angeles, California 90067-6005	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
	Ronald Richards Law Offices of Ronald Richards & Associates APC P.O. Box 11480 Beverly Hills, California 90213	Attorneys for Defendant Bret Saxon				
	Dana M. Cole Cole & Loeterman 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90067	Attorneys for Defendants Insomnia Media Group, IMG Film, Incorporated and IMG Film 14, Inc.				